

MURATA



Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

MM71/0119

EXAMINER

FOLEY & LARDNER 3000 K STREET NW SUITE 500 PO BOX 25696 WASHINGTON DC 20007-8696

APPLICATION NO.

CUNEO, K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2831

DATE MAILED:

01/19/99

040679/6439

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 



Application No. 08/825,400

Applicant(s)

Haruhiko Murata et al.

Interview Summary Examiner

Examiner

Group Art Unit 2831



		Kamand Cuneo	2831	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) <u>Ka</u>	mand Cuneo	(3)		
(2) <u>Mr</u>	. Johnny Kumar			
Date o	f Interview	···		
Type: X Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:   Yes X No. If yes, brief description:				
Agreement  was reached.  was not reached.				
Claim(s) discussed: 1				
Identification of prior art discussed:  Degani et al.				
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:  Applicant suggested to change "solder bumps" to "solder balls." Actual determination cannot be made until the final form of the claims are reviewed, but this change appears to overcome the Degani et al. reference considering elements (36).  Applicant also inquired whether the addition of "reflowed" will overcome the Degani et al. reference. This will require throrough consideration.				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
1. 🛛	It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ	ate record of the substance of th	e interview.	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.				
2.	Since the Examiner's interview summary above (in each of the objections, rejections and requirement claims are now allowable, this completed form is confice action. Applicant is not relieved from provisis also checked.	s that may be present in the last considered to fulfill the response ding a separate record of the inte Excu	Office action, a requirements of	and since the the last ox 1 above carect
Examine	or Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an a	ttachment to a signed Office action.		